





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/775,868	02/02/2001	Firmin Garcia	VAL1599P0230US	3944
32116 7	590 11/24/2003		EXAM	INER
WOOD, PHILLIPS, KATZ, CLARK & MORTIMER 500 W. MADISON STREET			KAUFMAN, JOSEPH A	
SUITE 3800	SON STREET		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CHICAGO, IL 60661			3754	
			DATE MAILED: 11/24/2003	3

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/775,868	GARCIA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Joseph A. Kaufman	3754				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	86(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☒ None of:  1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti since a specific reference was included in the firs 37 CFR 1.78.  a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti reference was included in the first sentence of the	s have been received. s have been received in Application of the certified copies not received priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1190 of the certified copies not received to priority under 35 U.S.C. § 120 of the specification application has been received to the specification of the specification of the specification application has been received to the specification of the specification of the specification application has been received to the specification of the specification of the specification application has been received to the specification of the specification of the specification application has been received to the specification of the specification of the specification application has been received to the specification of the specification of the specification application has been received to the specification of the specification of the specification application has been received to the specification of the specification of the specification application has been received to the specification of the specification of the specification application the specification of the specification application the specification application application the specification application the specification application application application the specification application app	ion No  ed in this National Stage  ed.  e) (to a provisional application)  r in an Application Data Sheet.  eeived.  and/or 121 since a specific				
Attachment(s)	_					
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 2</li> </ol>	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal F	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/775,868 Page 2

Art Unit: 3754

## Specification

1. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because of the use of the legal term "said" on line 4. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

2. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: headings, i.e. "Brief Description of the Drawings", should be added to the specification.

Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1, line 5, "optionally" renders the claim indefinite as it is unclear what the metes and bounds of the claim are. Is the gasket present or not?

In claim 8, line 9, "such as" renders the claim indefinite as it is unclear what type of dispensing members are encompassed. On line 11, the dispensing member is recited for a second time, raising issues of double inclusion. On line 15, "optionally" renders the claim vague and indefinite for the above noted reasons.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

•3

<sup>(</sup>a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 09/775,868 Page 3

Art Unit: 3754

5. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

6. Claims 1, 2, and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over EP 0,806,248 to Guglielminetti in view of Van Brocklin.

Guglielminetti shows a container 3; neck 2; fixing device 1 having a ring; web 13; skirt 10; free ends discussed in column 3, lines 19-27 that deform inwardly in a zone as seen in Figure 4 when axial thrust is applied to the free end; preventer means 30 and trim band 32. Guglielminetti lacks the skirt being formed into tabs. Van Broklin shows a fixing device for a dispensing device having deforming tabs 46. It would have been obvious to make the single skirt of Guglielminetti into tabs as taught by Van Brokllin in order to simplify construction of the device as noted in column 3, lines 33-37 and 41-56.

## Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 3-5 and 7 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 09/775,868

Art Unit: 3754

8.

Claims 8-14 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the

rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to

applicant's disclosure. Arona-Delonghi, de Pous et al., Bougamont et al., and de Rosa

show other fixing devices for dispensers.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the 10.

examiner should be directed to Joseph A. Kaufman whose telephone number is (703)

308-0266. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (second Mondays

off), 5:30AM-3PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Gene Mancene can be reached on (703) 308-2696. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-

0975.

Page 4

Primary/Examiner

Art Unit 3754

jak

November 21, 2003